Test file: Trends in Antimicrobial Resistance in Community-Acquired Infections: A 10-Year Surveillance Study

Authors: Mohammad Tarique[1], Lei Yang[2], Chao Luo[3]*

Affiliations:

[1] University of Missouri, Columbia 65211, USA

[2] Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

[3] The Affiliated Huaian No.1 People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Huaian 223300, China

***Corresponding Author:**

Chao Luo, Email: hayylch@njmu.edu.cn

Abstract

This decade-long surveillance study analyzes antimicrobial resistance patterns in community-acquired infections. Data from 25 healthcare facilities show increasing resistance rates, particularly in gram-negative pathogens. The findings underscore the need for enhanced antimicrobial stewardship in community settings.

Keywords: Antimicrobial Resistance; Surveillance; Community-Acquired Infections; Epidemiology; Public Health; Antibiotic Stewardship

1. Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) represents one of the most pressing global public health threats of the 21st century [1]. While hospital-acquired resistant infections have received considerable attention, the emergence and spread of AMR in community settings presents distinct challenges for surveillance and control [2]. Community-acquired infections account for substantial morbidity and mortality worldwide, and increasing resistance rates threaten the effectiveness of empirical antibiotic therapy [3].

Longitudinal surveillance is essential for understanding AMR trends and guiding treatment guidelines [4]. Previous studies have documented rising resistance rates in specific pathogens or geographic regions, but comprehensive multi-center surveillance spanning extended periods remains limited [5]. This study aims to characterize the 10-year trends in antimicrobial resistance among common community-acquired pathogens across diverse healthcare settings.

2. Methods

2.1 Study Design and Setting

We conducted a prospective surveillance study from January 2013 to December 2022, involving 25 healthcare facilities across five regions. Facilities included primary care clinics, urgent care centers, and community hospitals to capture a representative spectrum of community-acquired infections.

2.2 Case Definition and Data Collection

Community-acquired infections were defined according to CDC criteria [6]. We included isolates from urinary tract infections, respiratory tract infections, skin and soft tissue infections, and bloodstream infections. Standardized laboratory methods were used for bacterial identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing across all participating sites.

2.3 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined using broth microdilution methods according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [7]. Quality control strains were included in each batch of testing. Resistance was defined using current CLSI breakpoints.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Trends in resistance rates were analyzed using joinpoint regression to identify significant changes over time. Multivariable logistic regression models were used to identify factors associated with resistant infections. Spatial analysis was performed to examine geographic variation in resistance patterns.

3. Results

3.1 Overall Resistance Trends

Among 125,840 bacterial isolates analyzed, overall resistance rates increased from 18.2% in 2013 to 29.7% in 2022 (annual percentage change: 4.8%, 95% CI: 3.9-5.7%). The increase was most pronounced in gram-negative pathogens, particularly Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae.

3.2 Pathogen-Specific Patterns

E. coli resistance to fluoroquinolones increased from 12.5% to 28.3% over the study period. K. pneumoniae carbapenem resistance emerged in 2016 and increased to 4.2% by 2022. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) rates remained stable at 35-40% throughout the study period.

3.3 Geographic and Demographic Variations

Significant geographic heterogeneity was observed, with resistance rates varying up to threefold between regions. Higher resistance rates were associated with urban locations, recent antibiotic exposure, and specific age groups (elderly and pediatric populations).

4. Discussion

4.1 Key Findings and Implications

The substantial increase in antimicrobial resistance among community-acquired pathogens over the past decade highlights the ongoing evolution of AMR in community settings. The emergence of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in community isolates is particularly concerning and requires immediate public health attention.

4.2 Prevention and Control Strategies

The findings support enhanced antimicrobial stewardship programs in outpatient settings, improved diagnostic testing to guide targeted therapy, and continued surveillance to detect emerging resistance patterns. Community-based interventions should focus on appropriate antibiotic prescribing and infection prevention measures.

4.3 Limitations

Limitations include potential selection bias in isolate collection and variation in testing methods across sites. The study primarily captured healthcare-seeking populations, potentially underestimating true community prevalence.

5. Conclusion

This decade-long surveillance study demonstrates concerning trends in antimicrobial resistance among community-acquired pathogens. The findings emphasize the need for comprehensive strategies to combat AMR across the healthcare continuum, with particular attention to community settings where prevention efforts can have substantial impact.

References

[1] World Health Organization. (2021). Global action plan on antimicrobial resistance. WHO Press.
[2] Cassini, A., et al. (2019). Attributable deaths and disability-adjusted life-years caused by infections with antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the EU and the European Economic Area in 2015: a systematic modelling analysis. The Lancet Infectious Diseases, 19(1), 56-66.
[3] Laxminarayan, R., et al. (2020). The overlooked pandemic of antimicrobial resistance. The Lancet, 396(10258), 1150-1151.
[4] Friedman, N. D., et al. (2022). Antimicrobial resistance surveillance: methods and challenges. Clinical Microbiology Reviews, 35(3), e00279-21.
[5] Murray, C. J., et al. (2022). Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. The Lancet, 399(10325), 629-655.
[6] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021). Surveillance definitions for antimicrobial resistance. CDC Press.
[7] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2022). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. CLSI supplement M100.